

Project Team								
	Name	Email						
Customer:								
Electric Sponsors:								
Gas Sponsors:								
PA's Technical Consultant:								
Architect:								
Mechanical Engineer:								
Electrical Engineer:								
General Contractor:								
Commissioning Agent:								

Project Info									
Project Name:									
Charrette Date:									
Interim Design Review Date:									
Full Address:									
Design Schedule:	100% SDs	100% DDs		100% CDs		Co	nstru	ction Com	pletion
Date:									
Facility Type:									
Total Project Area (sq. ft.):									
New Construction or Renovation:	□ New Construction □ Renovation □ Addition					1			
Energy Code Followed by Project:									
Typical Schedule Use:									
Electric Natural Gas Propane #2 Oil			□ #6 Oil						
Heating Fuel Source(s):	□ Other (please specify):								
Certification Goals (ZNE, LEED):	Certification Goals (ZNE, LEED):								
Site EUI Targets:	Baseline: Proposed:								
Other Important Considerations:	Core and Shell Fit Out Campus/District Plant					Plant			

Please indicate full and detailed address including: number, street, city and ZIP code.

BROUGHT TO YOU BY





PROUD SPONSORS OF





			Energy Efficiency Reco	ommendations	
#	ECM				
		Charrette	Design Target		E.C. Proposed Recommendations
		Discussion			
1	Mid Design Review	Meets E.C. Proposed Recommendation	Potential Savings* (low/medium/high)	Notes and Recommendations	
			□ Yes □ No		
		Charrette Discussion	Desigr	n Target	E.C. Proposed Recommendations
2			Meets E.C. Proposed	Potential Savings*	Notes and Recommendations
		Mid Design Review	Recommendation	(low/medium/high)	
			🗆 No	n Target	E.C. Proposed Recommendations
		Charrette Discussion	Design	r na yet	
3		Mid Design Review	E.C. Proposed Sa Design Recommendation (low/m	Potential Savings*	Notes and Recommendations
				(low/medium/high)	
4		Charrette Discussion	Design Target		E.C. Proposed Recommendations
		Mid Design Review	Meets E.C. Proposed	Potential Savings*	Notes and Recommendations
			Recommendation	(low/medium/high)	
			□ Yes □ No		
	Charrette Discussion	Design Target		E.C. Proposed Recommendations	
5	5	Mid Design Review	Meets Potential		Notes and Recommendations
			E.C. Proposed Recommendation	Savings* (low/medium/high)	
				□ Yes □ No	

*Potential Savings represents percent energy savings of specific measure: low 5–10%, med 10–20%, high +20%.



	Energy Efficiency Recommendations						
#	ECM						
	Charrette	Design Target		E.C. Proposed Recommendations			
6	Discussion Mid Design	Meets E.C. Proposed Recommendation	Potential Savings* (low/medium/high)	Notes and Recommendations			
		Review	□ Yes □ No				
		Charrette Discussion	Desig	n Target	E.C. Proposed Recommendations		
7		Mid Design	Meets E.C. Proposed Recommendation	Potential Savings* (low/medium/high)	Notes and Recommendations		
		Review	□ Yes □ No				
8		Charrette Discussion	Design Target		E.C. Proposed Recommendations		
	Mid Design Review	Meets E.C. Proposed Recommendation	Potential Savings* (low/medium/high)	Notes and Recommendations			
			□ Yes □ No				
		Charrette Discussion	Design Target		E.C. Proposed Recommendations		
9			Meets E.C. Proposed Recommendation	Potential Savings* (low/medium/high)	Notes and Recommendations		
	Mid Design Review	□ Yes □ No	(iow) meanum mgm)				
		Charrette Discussion	Design Target		E.C. Proposed Recommendations		
10		Mid Design	Meets E.C. Proposed Recommendation	Potential Savings* (low/medium/high)	Notes and Recommendations		
	Review	□ Yes □ No					



	Energy Efficiency Recommendations							
#	ECM							
		Charrette	Design Target		E.C. Proposed Recommendations			
		Discussion						
11	Mid Design Review	Meets E.C. Proposed Recommendation	Potential Savings* (low/medium/high)	Notes and Recommendations				
			□ Yes □ No					
		Charrette Discussion	Desig	n Target	E.C. Proposed Recommendations			
12		Mid Design	Meets E.C. Proposed Recommendation	Potential Savings* (low/medium/high)	Notes and Recommendations			
		Review	□ Yes □ No					
		Charrette Discussion	Design Target		E.C. Proposed Recommendations			
		Discussion						
13	13	Mid Design Review	Meets E.C. Proposed Recommendation	Potential Savings* (low/medium/high)	Notes and Recommendations			
			□ Yes □ No					
		Charrette Discussion	Design Target		E.C. Proposed Recommendations			
14			Meets E.C. Proposed Recommendation	Potential Savings* (low/medium/high)	Notes and Recommendations			
	Mid Design Review	□ Yes □ No						
	Charrette Discussion	Design Target		E.C. Proposed Recommendations				
45	15		Meets	Potential	Notes and Recommendations			
15		Mid Design Review	E.C. Proposed Recommendation	Savings* (low/medium/high)				
		□ Yes □ No						




Energy Efficiency Recommendations						
#	ECM					
		Charrette	Design Target		E.C. Proposed Recommendations	
	Discussion					
16	Mid Design Review	Meets E.C. Proposed Recommendation	Potential Savings* (low/medium/high)	Notes and Recommendations		
			□ Yes □ No			
		Charrette Discussion	Design	n Target	E.C. Proposed Recommendations	
17			Meets E.C. Proposed	Potential Savings*	Notes and Recommendations	
		Mid Design Review	Recommendation	(low/medium/high)		
		Charrette Discussion	Design Target		E.C. Proposed Recommendations	
		Discussion				
18	Mid Design Review	Meets E.C. Proposed Recommendation	Potential Savings* (low/medium/high)	Notes and Recommendations		
			□ Yes □ No			
		Charrette Discussion	Design Target		E.C. Proposed Recommendations	
19		Mid Design Review	Meets E.C. Proposed Recommendation (low,	Potential	Notes and Recommendations	
19				Savings* (low/medium/high)		
		□ Yes □ No				
	Charrette Discussion	Design Target		E.C. Proposed Recommendations		
20			Meets E.C. Proposed	Potential Savings*	Notes and Recommendations	
	Mid Design Review	E.C. Proposed Recommendation	Savings* (low/medium/high)			
		□ Yes □ No				



Energy Efficiency Recommendations

Additional Recommendations/Comments:







PROUD SPONSORS OF



Energize Connecticut - programs funded by a charge on customer energy bills.